IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PIUS C.KURIAKOSE

MONDAY, THE 10TH DECEMBER 2007 / 19TH AGRAHAYANA 1929

WP(C).No. 32995 of 2007(C)

PETITIONER:

SAJI KUMAR, PROPRIETOR,
KARTHIKA CABLES, BOOTHAKULAM,
PARAVUR, KOLLAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.PRATHEESH.P

RESPONDENTS:

THE SECRETARY, PARAVUR MUNICIPALITY,
PARAVUR P.O., KOLLAM DISTRICT-691 301.

BY ADV. SRI.B.SURESH KUMAR
SRI.R.RENJITH

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 10/12/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

PIUS C. KURIAKOSE, J.

W.P.(C) NO. 32995 of 2007

Dated this the 10th day of December , 2007

JUDGMENT

Sri.R.Rajit entering appearance for the respondent Municipality submits that the petitioner cannot have a legitimate grievance, since he is not a cable operator within the area of the Paravur Municipality. The learned counsel placed before me a copy of the application dated 15.2.2007 filed before the Paravur Municipality as well as a copy of the affidavit which was sworn to by the petitioner before the sub Court, Kollam in a suit which he along with another person had filed before that court arraying the Municipality and others as defendants. On going through the application dated 15.2.2007 and the affidavit , it is seen that the petitioner himself has conceded before the Municipality and the civil Court that he is not an existing cable operator within the area of the Paravur Municipality though it may be true that he has filed application to operate within the Paravur Municipality. Hence The petitioner is not an aggrieved person.

The Writ Petition will stand dismissed.

PIUS C. KURIAKOSE,
JUDGE.

dpk